



An Assessment of Technical Risks in PV Investments



Ioannis Thomas Theologitis (SolarPower Europe)



Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

www.solarbankability.eu

Project Overview



- European Union Horizon 2020 Work Programme
- 24 months (March 2015 February 2017)
- 5 consortium partners:

Main Objective: Develop and establish a common practice for professional risk assessment which will serve to reduce the technical risks associated with investments in PV projects.



Technical Risks Matrix



	Pro	duct Developme	Assessment of PV Plants		
	Product testing	Planning	Transportation / installation	O&M	Decommissioning
Modules	(···				
Inverter					
Mounting structure					
Connection & distribution boxes					
Cabling					
Potential equalization & grounding, LPS	&	···· > 1	40 Ri	sks	
Weather station, communication, monitoring					
Infrastructure & environmental influenc	ce				
Storage system					
Miscellaneous					

List of Module Risks



	Pro	oduct Development	Assessm	ent of PV Plants
	Product testing	Planning Tra	Insportation O&M	Decommissioning
Modules	(
 Insulation test Incorrect cell soldering Undersized bypass diode Junction box adhesion Delamination at the edges Arcing spots on the module Visually detectable hot spots Incorrect power rating (flash test issue) Uncertified components or production line 	 Soiling Shadow diagram Modules mismatch Modules not certified Flash report not available or incorrect Special climatic conditions not considered (salt corrosion, ammonia,) Incorrect assumptions of module degradation, light induced degradation unclear Module quality unclear (lamination, soldering) Simulation parameters (low irradiance, temperature) unclear, missing PAN files 	 Module mishandling (glass breakage) Module mishandling (cell breakage) Module mishandling (defective backsheet) Incorrect connection of modules Bad wiring without fasteners 	 Hotspot Delamination Glass breakage Soiling Shading Snail tracks Cell cracks PID Failure bypass diode and junction box Corrosion in the junction box Theft of modules Module degradation Slow reaction time for warranty claims, vague or inappropriate definition of procedure for warranty claims Spare modules no longer available, costly string reconfiguration 	Undefined product recycling procedure



Risk Descriptions



Component	Module						
Defect	Delamination						
Brief description	Delamination resul stand out in colour	ting from	for the loss of adhes the remaining cells	ion ar	nd they are brig	nt, milky	areas that
Detailed description	The adhesion between the glass, encapsulant, active layers, and back layers can be compromised for many reasons.Delamination is more frequent and severe in hot and humid climates. Typically, if the adhesion is compromised because of contamination (e.g. improper cleaning of the glass) or environmental factors, delamination will occur, followed by moisture ingress and corrosion. Delamination at interfaces within the optical path will result in optical reflection and subsequent loss of current power from the modules. Delamination on cells led to decrease in lsc						
References	Review of Failures Study of Delaminat	of P tion i	hotovoltaic Modules n acceleration teste	, IEA d PV r	 International E nodules – Neel 	inergy A kanth G	gency. , Mandar B.
Normative References	IEC 61215 IEC 61730 IEC 61446			6			
Causes	Installation: Mishandling	Product defects: Maintenance: Material defect Environmental influence Degradation			ce &		
Detection	Visual inspection						
CPN [€/kWp]	Time to detect in [h]		Time to repair/substitution [h]	Repair/substitution time [h]		Power loss [%]	
	1						
	Rm (average cost of detection/component) [€]		Rsu (average substitution cost/component) [€]	Rr (average repair cost/component) [€]		pair Rp (average transport costs per component) [€]	
	0	108 0 10					
Action	Modules with large delamination must be replaced.						
Delamination of a m	nodule	Delam	ination		Browning and	d delamir	ation of a

Component	Inverter						
Defect	Overheating					1	
Brief description	During temperature de from overheating.	During temperature derating, the inverter reduces its power to protect components from overheating.					
Detailed description	Temperature derating the monitored compon it operating point to a In the extreme case, it the threatened compo optimal operating poin installation conditions	Temperature derating protects sensitive inverter components from overheating. When the monitored components reach the maximum operating temperature, the device shifts it operating point to a lower power. During this process, power is reduced step-by-step. In the extreme case, the inverter switches off completely. As soon as the temperature of the threatened components falls below the critical value, the inverter returns to the optimal operating point. Temperature derating can occur for various reasons, e.g. when installation conditions interfere with the inverter's heat dissipation.					
References	UEN103910						
Normative References	IEC 62116		DIN VDE 0	126	EN	150530	
Causes	Installation: Improper installation	nstallation: Product defects: Mainter mproper installation Fan failure Fan or heat dis		Maintenance: Fan or dust is blocking heat dissipation			
Detection	Visual Inspection, Inve	erter Monito	oring, Datalog	gger			
CPN [€/kWp]	Time to detect in [h] Time to repair/substitution n time [h]		Power loss [%]				
						20	
	Rm (average cost of detection/component substitution) [€] cost/com		rage on ponent) [€]	Rr (average repair cost/component) [€]		Rp (av transp comp	verage oort costs per onent) [€]
	0	0		377		10	
Action	The filters and in general heat dissipation path should be clear.						
Soilled air filter	Soilled air filter						

Cost Priority Number and Uncertainty



Risks to which we can assign an **uncertainty** (e.g. irradiance, degradation) Variance and uncertainty \rightarrow Link to financial probability parameters



Risks to which we can assign a **CPN** (e.g. module and inverter failure) Failure collection and CPN table \rightarrow CPN value is an indication of preventive and corrective O&M (Euros/kWp/year)



Technical Risk Data Base (442 MWp)



	Total number of plants	Total Power [kWp]	Average number of years
TOTAL	772	441676	2.7
Components	No. tickets	No. Cases	No. Components
Modules	473	678801	2058721
Inverters	476	2548	11967
Mounting structures	420	15809	43057
Connection & Distribution boxes	221	12343	20372
Cabling	614	367724	238546
Transformer station & MV/HV	53	220	558
Total	2257	1077445	2373222

 $CPN = C_{down} + C_{fix}$

CPN is given in Euros/kW/year It gives an indication of the economic impact of a failure due to downtime and investment cost



Top 10 Module CPNs





- Highest risk consists of a group of installation failures (mishandling, connection failures, missing fixation, etc.)
- Variety of failures detected by different techniques (VI, IR, EL, IV-Curves)





Risk Mitigation Measures

- Preventive measures
 - Component testing
 - Design review and construction monitoring
 - Qualification of EPC
- Corrective measures
 - Advanced monitoring system
 - Basic monitoring system
 - Advanced inspection
 - Visual inspection
 - Spare part management



Mitigation Measures



Risk mitigation fact sheet

Name	Component Testing – PV modules	Preventive		x
		Corrective		х
Short description	n			
High-quality pho guaranteed rate must also be s actions the qual	otovoltaic modules are subject to a number d power reliably, while withstanding an extre afe and durable, ensuring the system high yie ity of the modules can be fully certified.	of requirements. Fi mely wide range of e Id over the long- term	irst, they have environmental co period. Howeve	to deliver th nditions. The er, with testin
Actions	Short description		Uncertainty	Cost
PID Testing	PID refers to potential induced performa crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules. It module voltage potential and leakage curre within the module. The degradation accelera humidity, temperature and voltage potential the practical conditions in the PV system, a performance and power output under high vo		0.5 – 1 €/kV	
Insulation measurement	A typical module would have a structure EVA-tediar back sheet. Apparent physic modules under long-term field-exposure hi This measurement ensures the quality of ti to ensure the insulation of the module.		0.2 – 0.7 €/kW	
STC Power Measurements	Measurements under standard test condition and electrical output. Measurement 1000 W/m ² , AM 1.5, 25°C.	ns for determining IV conditions (STC):		0.3 – 0.8 €/kW
EL Imaging	Electroluminescence (EL) imaging is a qual for both crystalline silicon and thin film solar accurately detecting numerous failures and cracks and breakages, in some cases defect shunts etc.		0.5 – 1 €/kV	
IR inspection	The infrared imaging (IR) inspection of pl allows the detection of potential defects at level as well as the detection of interconnection problems. The inspections in normal operating conditions and do not red down.	notovoltaic systems the cell and module possible electrical are carried out under quire a system shut		0.5 – 1 €/kV

Risk mitigation flash card

<u>Risk</u>	1. Insufficient EPC technica			
	that selected components are suitable for use in the specific PV plant environment of application		Procurem ent √	Planning
			0&M	Construction
<u>Key takeaway</u>	PV plant component specificatio possible to ensure that the comp specific application, site and env	n and requirement in the EPC cont oonents procured are suited for the ironment	ract should be a intended PV in:	s detail as stallation
<u>Impact of risk</u>	LCOE variables impacted by this	s risk: CAPEX	OPEX	Yield √
<u>Mitigations</u>	 Component testing Design review + construction monitoring EPC qualification Advanced monitoring Basic monitoring Advanced inspection Visual inspection Yield/performance test 	 When specifying the technica plant components in the EPC the component type and qua should also include: All applicable certification IEC61215, IEC61730, IEC6 for modules; IEC62109, IE mark of compliance for a The environmental condi be installed in (temperati snow load, any special ch corrosion risk etc.) For PV modules, module materials and the proof of documents for these materials 	I requirement contract, in ac ntity, the spec ns and conform 1701, IEC6286 EC61000 for in II electrical con tion the comp ure, humidity, emical exposu component bi of IEC certificat erials	s for PV ddition to ifications nances (e.g.)4, IEC61716 verters; CE nponents) onents will wind and ire, II of ion
<u>Impact of</u> mitigation	LCOE variables impacted by the mitigations:	risk CAPEX	OPEX	Yield √



Financial Modelling Tool





Business models under Evaluation



	Description		
Business model 1	Residential rooftop PV system with crystalline modules located in central Europe (5,6 kW, c-Si, Germany)		
Business model 2	Residential rooftop PV system with crystalline modules and battery storage located in central Europe (5,2 kW c-Si + storage, Germany)		
Business model 3	Utility scale ground mounted PV system with crystalline modules, central inverters, located in northern Europe (7,6 MW, c-Si, UK)		
Business model 4	Utility scale ground mounted PV system with CdTe modules, string inverters, located in southern Europe (0,6 MW, CdTe, Italy)		

The cash flow modelling for all business models on a 100% equity financing structure. Thus the economic impact of technical risks remains more objective and comparable and is not influenced by different financial leverage ratios which are subject to the individual risk/return preferences of individual investors



Risk mitigation plan for the BMs





- A PV project will be exposed to several technical risks throughout its operational phase
- For each of the BM, a technical risk scenario has been created – combination of 4 technical risks from each project phase
- Repair and Maintenance cost assumptions have been made based on a list of >3500 insurance claims from all EU

The impact of technical risks can differ to a large extend between **the base case** and **the worst case**. The base case of the cash flow model contains a provision for regular operations and maintenance costs. The worst case can exceed these provision and might require the injection of additional equity capital, in case the risk impact is neither covered by warranties, guarantees or insurances

Impact Categories of Technical Failures





A reserve account is often included in the cash flow model to buffer unanticipated business model risks. The size of the reserve account varies with the individual stability requirements of the investor or the financing bank. The size of the reserve account is measured as a fraction of the 12 months revenues in the first year of PV system operations - DSRA.



Risk Modelling Results for single technical risks (BM3)

Business model 3 represents a utility scale PV system with a nominal capacity of 7.6 MWp located in central UK. The system consists of 7 central inverters, 190 strings per inverter and 22 crystalline silicon modules per string. The system was commissioned in January 2011. The owner is a financial investor interested in maximum system profitability

Results by failure category

PID 100% failure bypass diode/junction box 90% hotspots 80% 250% 70% 200% 60% 50% 150% 40% 100% 30% 20% 50% 10% 0% 0% 3070 Cab 3110 Mod 3040 Inv 3050 Inv 3051 Inv 3060 Str 3061 Str 3000 Mod 3031 Mod 3071 Cab 3090 Cab 3091 Cab 3100 Inv 3030 Mod 3041 Inv 3080 Cab 3081 Cab 3111 Mod 3001 Mod 3021 Mod 3101 Inv 3090 Cab 3110 Mod 3111 Mod 3050 Inv 3061 Str 3010 Mg 3020 Mo 3001 Mod 3010 Mod 3011 Mod 3020 Mod 3021 Mod 3030 Mod 3031 Mod 3040 Inv 3041 Inv 3051 Inv 3060 Str 3070 Cab 3071 Cab 3080 Cab 3081 Cab 3091 Cab 3100 Inv 3101 Inv Ž 3000 Mod 3011 Base Case 📕 Worst Case ■ Crep/sub ■ Cdet+Clab ■ Ctrans ■ Cdown

Results by cost category

SOLAR

BANKABILITY

Solar Bankability Project Reports





Free download of reports from project website: www.solarbankability.eu



Basel III and Solvency II



New capital market regulations require a thorough due diligence and ongoing risk management procedures. Banks and insurances are requested to either implement a qualified in-house risk rating or to take advantage of external professional rating services.



The Solar Bankability project enhances risk disclosure and management procedures

Thank you

PRESENTED BY Ioannis Thomas Theologitis i.theologitis@solarpowereurope.org





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 649997. The content of this report reflects only the author's view and the Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains



Funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union

www.solarbankability.eu